1	MICHAEL M. EDWARDS Nevada Bar No. 006281 SHERI M. THOME Nevada Bar No. 008657 WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP 300 South Fourth Street, 11th Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
2		
3		
4		
5	(702) 727-1400; FAX (702) 727-1401 Michael.Edwards@wilsonelser.com Sheri.Thome@wilsonelser.com	
6	Attorneys for Defendants, Tate Snyder Kimsey Architects, Ltd. & James Windom Kimsey	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
9		
10	RANDALL L. SPITZMESSER,	CASE NO: 2:10-cv-01700-KJD-LRL
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	n n
13	TATE SNYDER KIMSEY ARCHITECTS, LTD., a Nevada Domestic Professional Corporation;	
14	JAMES WINDOM KIMSEY; ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I-X; DOE INDIVIDUALS I-X,	
15	Defendants.	-
16		
17	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER	
18	Plaintiff RANDALL L. SPITZMESSER and Defendants TATE SNYDER KIMSEY	
19		
20	ARCHITECTS, LTD. and JAMES WINDOM KIMSEY hereby enter into the following stipulation	
21	and agreement with respect to the following documents the Court has ordered non-party witness	
22	Tina Wichmann to produce:	
23	(1) Cell phone records between January of 2009 and August of 2009;	
24	(2) Any personal emails to and from Plaintiff Randall Spitzmesser and Tina Wichman	
25	between January of 2009 and August of 2009;	
26	11 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 1	
27	(3) All records of income generated by rasa since its inception;	
28	(4) A list of all clients for whom rasa has performed work since its inception;	
	293510.1 Page 1 o	f 4

- (5) All notes, memos, recorded conversations, text messages, and emails dated January of 2009 to the present that are in Tina Wichmann's possession regarding Tate Snyder Kimsey Architects, Ltd., Plaintiff Randall Spitzmesser, and/or rasa;
 - (6) IRS returns for 2009 and 2010 for rasa.

The parties stipulate and agree that the documents set forth above are to be produced for purposes of discovery and in general preparation for the litigation of this action and may contain proprietary and confidential information, and the parties therefore agree that dissemination of this information to parties outside this litigation may cause irreparable harm. Accordingly, the document may be used by the parties only for purposes described below, subject to the following conditions, safeguards and limitations:

- 1. The documents listed above shall be used only in connection with this litigation among the parties and shall not be disclosed or used by anyone except:
 - (a) Attorneys of record for any party to this action, including attorneys in any law firm or corporation who have been assigned to represent the interests of any party to this action;
 - (b) Regular employees of any law firm or corporation appearing as counsel of record in this action, including paralegals and secretaries;
 - (c) Any officer or employee of any party to this action, including in-house counsel, but only to the extent of that person's involvement in this action;
 - (d) Independent experts retained by any party, but only to the extent necessary to assist the parties, and their attorneys, in the preparation and presentation of their claims or defenses;
 - (e) Persons who have authored, prepared or assisted in the preparation of the particular confidential documents or materials;
 - (f) Any deponent or witness in the course of, or in preparation for, testimony at the trial of this matter; and
 - (g) The Court, Court personnel, Court reporters, or other personnel involved in the adjudicative process.
- 2. No copies of any documents or material shall be made or delivered to any person other than those categories of persons referred to explicitly in paragraph "1" above, except any copy

actually marked as an exhibit at the trial in this matter. This provision shall not prevent counsel from making copies for their own use, or use by their office assistants.

- 3. No documents listed above shall be used for any purposes outside this litigation.
- 4. The parties agree that the documents listed above are confidential in nature. Accordingly, in the event any party proposes to attach any of the documents listed above to a dispositive motion filed with the Court, the party so submitting the document(s) must first obtain a ruling from the Court that compelling reasons, as defined in Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006), exist to keep the document(s) attached to the dispositive motion scaled or provide the opposing party and Tina Wichmann notice of its intent to attach the document(s) to a dispositive motion so that the opposing party or Mrs. Wichmann may seek such a determination from the Court. The moving party shall bear the burden of establishing that compelling reasons exist to keep the document(s) sealed. In the alternative, the parties may stipulate that compelling reasons exist to keep the document(s) sealed and may submit a stipulation to the Court requesting that the document(s) be sealed. The document(s) shall then be provided to the Court for an *in camera* review and determination as to whether compelling reasons exist to keep the document(s) sealed. The provisions in this paragraph shall also apply to the use of the documents listed above during trial.
- 5. In the event any party proposes to attach any of the documents listed above to a non-dispositive motion filed with the Court, the party so submitting the document(s) must first obtain a ruling from the Court that good cause, as defined in Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006), exists to keep the document(s) attached to the dispositive motion sealed or provide the opposing party and Tina Wichmann notice of its intent to attach the document(s) to a dispositive motion so that the opposing party or Mrs. Wichmann may seek such a determination from the Court. The moving party shall bear the burden of establishing that good cause exists to keep the document(s) sealed. In the alternative, the parties may stipulate that good cause exists to

keep the document(s) sealed and may submit a stipulation to the Court requesting that the document(s) be sealed. The document(s) shall then be provided to the Court for an *in camera* review and determination as to whether good cause exists to keep the document(s) sealed.

- 6. Whenever any counsel proposes to disclose any of the above documents to any person who is not included in those categories of persons referred to in paragraph "1" above, prior notice shall be given in writing to the producing party and all other parties to this case; if the producing party or another party to this case objects to the disclosure of its documents or information, the documents or information shall not be disclosed.
- 7. Nothing in this Stipulated Protected Order shall preclude any party from applying to the Court for additional or different provisions in respect to specific documents should the need arise during this action. The Court shall maintain jurisdiction over the issue of confidentiality of the documents described in this Stipulated Protective Order and reserves the right to make additional findings or determinations with respect to whether the document(s) should be sealed.

DATED: <u>62/03/2012</u> DATED: <u>13/12</u>

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP

Sheri M. Thome, Bsq.

Sheri M. Thome, Bsq.
Nevada Bar No. 008657
300 South Fourth Street, 11th Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Attorneys for Defendants

James P Kemp, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 006375

KEMP & KEMP

7435 West Azure Drive, Suite 110

Las Vegas, Nevada 89130 Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated this _____ day of ______, 2012.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE COURT JUDGE

Page 4 of 4

293510.1